

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,960	11/20/2003	Laurent A. Regimbal	10011454-3	3573
22879	7590 06/08/2005		EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPANY	GRAINGER, QUANA MASHELL		
	72400, 3404 E. HARMONY TUAL PROPERTY ADMIY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COL	RT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		2852	
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/719,960	REGIMBAL, LAURENT A.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quana M. Grainger	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o te with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later Ir			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ootog oldimo.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	•					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s):)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Quana M Grainger Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2852 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment of claims 1, 6,14, and 27-28 requires further search and consideration.